Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/560,262	ZATLOUKAL ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

		DANIEL WALSH	2887		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 31 August 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
1. ⊠ The appl appl	reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on ication, applicant must timely file one of the following rication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	the same day as filing a Notice eplies: (1) an amendment, affid al (with appeal fee) in complian	of Appeal. To avoid abar avit, or other evidence, v ce with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request	
<u>-</u>	The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.			
b) 🔲	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (IMONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set fo ter than SIX MONTHS from the ma b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN 1).	iling date of the final rejection THE FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO	
have been under 37 C set forth in may reduce	of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of external filed is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the si (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amou nortened statutory period for reply c	int of the fee. The appropri- originally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as	
2. The	Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extence of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	, to avoid dismissal of the		
_	e proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a bri	ef will not be entered be	cause	
(a) (b)	They raise new issues that would require further con They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	sideration and/or search (see Nv);	IOTE below);		
(c) L	They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	er form for appeal by materially	reducing or simplifying t	ne issues for	
(d)[They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally	rejected claims.		
4 M The	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1 See attached Notice of Non-	Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324)	
	olicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		compliant Amendment (1 10L-32 4).	
6. Nev	wly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separat	•	_	
how The Claii Claii Claii	purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: m(s) allowed: m(s) objected to: m(s) rejected: 1-40. m(s) withdrawn from consideration:		will be entered and an e	xplanation of	
	T OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
8. 🔲 The beca	affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but ause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).				
ente shov	affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a red because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov ving a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	/ercome <u>all</u> rejections under ap _l and was not earlier presented.	peal and/or appellant fail See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).	
	e affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatior 「FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims afte	r entry is below or attach	ed.	
	e request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application	n in condition for allowan	ce because:	
	te the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (ner: <u>see NOTE below</u> .	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	-		
		/DANIEL WALSH/ Primary Examiner, Ar	t Unit 2887		

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

NOTE:

Claims 1-40 remain rejected as per the final office action (mail date 6-22-10). The Amendment will not be entered because it is non-compliant (see attached PTO-324) and it is unclear if the version of the claims is proper/correct.

The Examienr notes that the status identifier of claim 1 is "Currently Amended" but there does not appear to be any amendments in the claim (claim is not marked up). The Applicants response also indicates claim amendemnts were made to claim 1, but claim 1 is not marked up (does not show any amendments).

Further, the Examiner notes that the circuitry in Zalewski si intpereted to consitute a transceiver(communication sections of the phone). However, the device itself can be interpreted as a transceiver, as well. Further, Perttila still teaches a common transceiver, since it recites "although it is possible in some implementation". This is not belived to be teaching away as argued by the Applicant. The Examiner suggests reconsideration of such arguments by the Applicant with filing a corrected response.